

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,353	06/26/2001	Glen C. Chang	5212600010 (Digeo 108)	1167
32641 75	10/19/2006		EXAMINER	
DIGEO, INC C/O STOEL RIVES LLP			VU, NGOC K	
201 SOUTH MAIN STREET, SUITE 1100 ONE UTAH CENTER		0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			2623	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/893,353	CHANG, GLEN C.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Ngoc K. Vu	2623		
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app eply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHICHE' - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. d for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eccived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ju</u>	ly 2006.			
2a)☐ Thi	s action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3)☐ Sine	_				
clos	sed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition o	of Claims				
4a) 5)☐ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)☐ Cla	m(s) <u>1,5,7,9-15,19,21,23-26,29-31,35,39,4</u> Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) <u>5, 7, 9-15, 19, 21, 23-26, 29-31, 35, 36, 36</u> m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration. 39, 41, 43, 49, 51-54 is/are reject			
Application F					
• •	specification is objected to by the Examiner				
	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner		
	licant may not request that any objection to the o				
Rep	lacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) <u></u> The	oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign of b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau he attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
•		·			
	•				
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice of D 3) Information	teferences Cited (PTO-892) Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) S)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Art Unit: 2623

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/28/2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 7/28/2006 have been considered are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 7, 9-15, 19, 21, 23-26, 29-31, 35, 39, 41, 43, 49, and 51-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Each independent claim calls for displaying the information which is unrelated to any controlling computer device in the home in response to representation/information selection.

Applicant asserts that the support is found for this limitation in the specification at page 7, lines 1+. Namely, the system displays information associated with "kitchen" such as recipes, shopping lists, etc. However, it is important to note that this portion of the specification does not fully support the claimed limitation. In contrast, figures 5 and 6 show a menu displaying various

Art Unit: 2623

features associated with living room and Bobby's room such as Web, TV, and Phone. Figures 10, 11 and 13 show a menu displaying various setting features associated with each room, e.g., setting active or inactive state for each service or device associated with each room. From this view, the various features or information presented in the menu(s) associated with living room and Bobby's room must associate with at least a controlling computer device in home such as TV set. Furthermore, the information presented in each menu (as illustrated in figures 5-7, 10, 11, and 13) is directly provided by a set top box 120. It is noted that the set top box is a type of controlling computer device in the home. In other words, the information presented in a menu of a particular room must associates with or relates to at least a controlling computer device, i.e., set top box, in the home. Accordingly, the above limitation is not fully supported by original specification and thus claims 1, 5, 7, 9-15, 19, 21, 23-26, 29-31, 35, 39, 41, 43, 49, and 51-54 introduce new matter.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoc K. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-7306. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,353 Page 4

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ngoc K. Vu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2623

October 16, 2006